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## Announcements

- Course webpage
, http://www.vision.rwth-aachen.de/teaching/
, Slides will be made available on the webpage
- L2P electronic repository
, Exercises and supplementary materials will be posted on the L2P
- Please subscribe to the lecture on the Campus system!
, Important to get email announcements and L2P access!
, Bachelor students please also subscribe


## Binary Images

- Just two pixel values
- Foreground and background
- Regions of interest

| 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |



## Uses: Industrial Inspection

Fig. 3 Schematic diagram of marking inspection setup at Texas Instruments

R. Nagarajan et al. "A real time marking inspection scheme for semiconductor industries", 2006
B. Leibe

## RWTHAACHEN <br> Uses: Document Analysis, Text Recognition



Scanned documents


Source: Till Quack, Martin Renold

## Uses: Medical/Bio Data



Source: D. Kim et al., Cytometry 35(1), 1999


## Uses: Blob Tracking \& Motion Analysis

Frame Differencing



Source: Kristen Grauman

Background Subtraction

B. Leibe

## Uses: Shape Analysis, Free-Viewpoint Video



Silhouette

Visual Hull Reconstruction


Medial axis


## Uses: Intensity Based Detection

- Looking for dark pixels...



## Uses: Color Based Detection

- Looking for pixels within a certain color range...



## Issues

- How to demarcate multiple regions of interest?
, Count objects
, Compute further features per object

- What to do with "noisy" binary outputs?
, Holes
, Extra small fragments



## Outline of Today's Lecture

- Convert the image into binary form
, Thresholding
- Clean up the thresholded image
, Morphological operators
- Extract individual objects
, Connected Components Labeling
- Describe the objects
, Region properties


## Thresholding

- Grayscale image $\Rightarrow$ Binary mask
- Different variants
, One-sided

$$
F_{T}[i, j]=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
1, \text { if } F[i, j] \geq T \\
0, \text { otherwise }
\end{array}\right.
$$

, Two-sided

$$
F_{T}[i, j]=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
1, \text { if } T_{1} \leq F[i, j] \leq T_{2} \\
0, \text { otherwise }
\end{array}\right.
$$

, Set membership

$$
F_{T}[i, j]= \begin{cases}1, & \text { if } F[i, j] \in Z \\ 0, & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$



## Selecting Thresholds

- Typical scenario
, Separate an object from a distinct background

- Try to separate the different grayvalue distributions
, Partition a bimodal histogram
, Fit a parametric distribution (e.g. Mixture of Gaussians)
, Dynamic or local thresholds
- In the following, I will present some simple methods.
, We will then see some more general methods in Lecture 6...


## A Nice Case: Bimodal Intensity Histograms




Ideal histogram, light object on dark background

Actual observed histogram with noise

## Not so Nice Cases...

- How to separate those?


Two distinct modes Overlapping modes


Multiple modes

- Threshold selection is difficult in the general case
, Domain knowledge often helps
, E.g. Fraction of text on a document page ( $\Rightarrow$ histogram quantile)
> E.g. Size of objects/structure elements


## Global Binarization [Otsu'79]

- Search for the threshold $T$ that minimizes the withinclass variance $\sigma_{\text {within }}$ of the two classes separated by $T$

$$
\sigma_{\text {within }}^{2}(T)=n_{1}(T) \sigma_{1}^{2}+n_{2}(T) \sigma_{2}^{2}(T)
$$

where

$$
n_{1}(T)=\left|\left\{I_{(x, y)}<T\right\}\right|, \quad n_{2}(T)=\left|\left\{I_{(x, y)} \geq T\right\}\right|
$$

- This is the same as maximizing the between-class variance $\sigma_{\text {between }}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma_{\text {between }}^{2}(T) & =\sigma^{2}-\sigma_{\text {within }}^{2}(T) \\
& =n_{1}(T) n_{2}(T)\left[\mu_{1}(T)-\mu_{2}(T)\right]^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Algorithm

1. Precompute a cumulative grayvalue histogram $h$.
2. For each potential threshold $T$
a) Separate the pixels into two clusters according to $T$
b) Look up $n_{1}, n_{2}$ in $h$ and compute both cluster means
c) Compute $\sigma_{\text {between }}^{2}(T)=n_{1}(T) n_{2}(T)\left[\mu_{1}(T)-\mu_{2}(T)\right]^{2}$
3. Choose

$$
T^{*}=\arg \max _{T}\left[\sigma_{b e t w e e n}^{2}(T)\right]
$$



## Local Binarization [Niblack'86]

- Estimate a local threshold within a small neighborhood window $W$

$$
T_{W}=\mu_{W}+k \cdot \sigma_{W}
$$


where $k \in[-1,0]$ is a user-defined parameter.


What is the hidden assumption here?

## Effects



Original image


## Additional Improvements

## - Document images often contain a smooth gradient $\Rightarrow$ Try to fit that gradient with a polynomial function



Figure 4: Face Dataset: We show the ROC curve for the full sot SVM of 1434 support vectors (bold solid line), two roduced set methods of 10 and 100 reduced sets (both in deuked lime). The dached line of the 100 reduced sexi $\overline{\mathrm{c}}$ acide almost entirely with the full set of support vectors. (f addition, we show two element sets of 200 and 576 clements (both in solid line). Note that an element set of 576 elements is equivalent to a single support vector. Hence, the 676 element set is equivalent to the 10 reduced set ince, the 10 ciement set is equivalent to the 10 reduced set in terms Original image



Fitted surface


Figure 4: Face Dataset: We show the ROC curve for the full set SVM of 1434 support vectors (bold solid line), two reduced set methods of 10 and 100 reduced sets (both in dashed line). The dashed line of the 100 reduced set coincide almost entirely with the full set of support vectors. In addition, we show two element sets of 200 and 576 elements (both in solid line). Note that an element set of 576 elements is equivalent to a single support vector. Hence, the 576 element set ts equivalent to the 10 reduced set in terms of classification power but uses much less memory.
Shading compensation


Figure 4; Face Dataset: We show the ROC curve for the thin SYM of 1434 support vectors (bold solid line), two reduced sot mothods of 10 and 100 reduced sets (both in dethed line). The dashed line of the 100 reduced set colacke almost entirely with the fuil set of support vectors. In addition, we show two element sets of 200 and 576 cle mena (both in solid line). Note that an element set of 576 denents is equivalent to a single support vector. Hence, the 576 element set is equivalent to the 10 reduced set in terms of ctasification power but uses much less memory.
Binarized result

## Polynomial Surface Fitting

- Polynomial surface of degree $d$

$$
f(x, y)=\sum_{i+j=0}^{d} b_{i, j} x^{i} y^{j}
$$

- For an image pixel $\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right)$ with intensity $I_{0}$, this means

$$
b_{0,0}+b_{1,0} x_{0}+b_{0,1} y_{0}+b_{2,0} x_{0}^{2}+b_{1,1,} x_{0} y_{0}+\cdots+b_{0,3} y_{0}^{3}=I_{0}
$$

- Least-squares estimation, e.g. for $d=3$
\(\left[$$
\begin{array}{ccccccc}1 & x_{0} & y_{0} & x_{0}^{2} & x_{0} y_{0} & \cdots & y_{0}^{3} \\
1 & x_{1} & y_{1} & x_{1}^{2} & x_{1} y_{1} & \cdots & y_{1}^{3} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
1 & x_{n} & y_{n} & x_{n}^{2} & x_{n} y_{n} & \cdots & y_{n}^{3}\end{array}
$$\right]\left[$$
\begin{array}{c}A b \\
\text { B. Leibe }\end{array}
$$\right]\left[$$
\begin{array}{c}b_{0,0} \\
b_{1,0} \\
\vdots \\
b_{0,3}\end{array}
$$\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}I_{0} <br>
I_{1} <br>
\vdots <br>

I_{n}\end{array}\right]\)| Solution with |
| :---: |
| pseudo-inverse: |
| $b=\left(A^{T} A\right)^{-1} A^{T} I$ |
| Matlab (using SVD): |
| $b=I \backslash A$ |
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## Surface Fitting

- Iterative Algorithm
1.) Fit parametric surface to all points in region.
2.) Subtract estimated surface.
3.) Apply global threshold (e.g. with Otsu method)

Initial guess
4.) Fit surface to all background pixels in original region.
5.) Subtract estimated surface.
6.) Apply global threshold (Otsu)
7.) Iterate further if needed...

- The first pass also takes foreground pixels into account.
, This is corrected in the following passes.
, Basic assumption here: most pixels belong to the background.


## Result Comparison



Global (Otsu)



Ihe
Vol. 5 b
No. 1
5pring
1996


The Journal of Pastoral Care

Goes frivorint: Chapleninc
br tuantil in:rmes
Artickea:
 teaminge 1 numpring the Student'a Miaiakry Fismation by kover Ca Anderson
Slorrlelining und Pablorat Caro by To Etornary
13
23
Pryyer Reveals Beliei tmages oi Gad from Hospilal Pryyers


Polynomial

+ Global


## Outline of Today's Lecture

- Convert the image into binary form Thresholding
- Clean up the thresholded image
, Morphological operators
- Extract individual objects

Connected Components Labeling

- Describe the objects

Region properties
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## Cleaning the Binarized Results

- Results of thresholding often still contain noise

- Necessary cleaning operations
, Remove isolated points and small structures
, Fill holes
$\Rightarrow$ Morphological Operators


## Morphological Operators

- Basic idea
, Scan the image with a structuring element
, Perform set operations (intersection, union)
 of image content with structuring element
- Two basic operations
, Dilation (Matlab: imdilate)
, Erosion (Matlab: imerode)
- Several important combinations
, Opening (Matlab: imopen)
, Closing (Matlab: imclose)
, Boundary extraction


## Dilation

- Definition
, "The dilation of $A$ by $B$ is the set of all displacements $z$, such that $(B)_{z}$ and $A$ overlap by at least one element".


A
, $\left((\hat{B})_{z}\right.$ is the mirrored version of $B$, shifted by $z$ )

- Effects
, If current pixel $z$ is foreground, set all pixels under $(B)_{z}$ to foreground.
$\Rightarrow$ Expand connected components
$\Rightarrow$ Grow features
$\Rightarrow$ Fill holes

$A \oplus B_{2}$


## Erosion

- Definition
, "The erosion of $A$ by $B$ is the set of all displacements $z$, such that $(B)_{z}$ is entirely contained in $A$ ".


A


- Effects
, If not every pixel under $(B)_{z}$ is foreground, set the current pixel $z$ to background.
$\Rightarrow$ Erode connected components

$\Rightarrow$ Shrink features
$\Rightarrow$ Remove bridges, branches, noise


## Effects



Original image


Dilation with circular structuring element


Erosion with circular structuring element

## Effects



Original image


Dilation with circular structuring element


Erosion with circular structuring element

## Opening

- Definition
, Sequence of Erosion and Dilation

$$
A \circ B=(A \ominus B) \oplus B
$$

- Effect
, $A \circ B$ is defined by the points that
 are reached if $B$ is rolled around inside $A$.
$\Rightarrow$ Remove small objects, keep original shape.
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## Effect of Opening

- Feature selection through size of structuring element


Thresholded

Opening with larger structuring element

## Effect of Opening

- Feature selection through shape of structuring element

- How could we have extracted the lines?


## Closing

- Definition
, Sequence of Dilation and Erosion

$$
A \cdot B=(A \oplus B) \ominus B
$$



- Effect
, $A \cdot B$ is defined by the points that
 are reached if $B$ is rolled around on the outside of $A$.
$\Rightarrow$ Fill holes, keep original shape.



## Effect of Closing

- Fill holes in thresholded image (e.g. due to specularities)

Original image

Size of structuring element determines which structures are selectively filled.


Thresholded


## Example Application: Opening + Closing



Original image


Opening


Closing


Structuring element


Eroded image


Dilated image

## Application: Blob Tracking


§ Absolute differences from frame to frame $\Omega$
Computer Vision WS 15/16


, $\sqrt{3}$ Thresholding $\sqrt{ } \sqrt{ }$

B. Leibe


## Computer Vision WS 15/16

B. Leibe

## \section*{?} <br> Morphological Boundary Extraction

- Definition
, First erode $A$ by $B$, then subtract the result from the original $A$.

$$
\beta(A)=A-(A \ominus B)
$$



- Effects
- If a $3 \times 3$ structuring element is used, this results in a boundary that is exactly 1 pixel thick.


## Morphology Operators on Grayscale Images

- Sidenote
, Dilation and erosion are typically performed on binary images.
> If image is grayscale: for dilation take the neighborhood max, for erosion take the min.


Dilated


Eroded

## Outline of Today's Lecture

- Convert the image into binary form Thresholding
- Clean up the thresholded image Morphological operators
- Extract individual objects
, Connected Components Labeling
- Describe the objects

Region properties


## Connected Components Labeling

- Goal: Identify distinct regions


| 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |

Binary image


| 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 2 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 2 |
| 5 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 5 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 2 |

Connected components labeling


## Connected Components Examples


connected components of 1 's from thresholded image

connected components of cluster labels

## Connectedness

- Which pixels are considered neighbors?




## Sequential Connected Components

- Labeling a pixel only requires to consider its prior and superior neighbors.
- It depends on the type of connectivity used for foreground (4-connectivity here).


What happens in these cases?



Equivalence table

## Sequential Connected Components (2)

- Process the image from left to right, top to bottom:
1.) If the next pixel to process is 1

i.) If only one of its neighbors (top or left) is 1 , copy its label.
ii.) If both are 1 and have the same label, copy it.
iii.) If they have different labels
- Copy the label from the left.
- Update the equivalence table.

iv.) Otherwise, assign a new label.


Equivalence table
\{1\}

## Sequential Connected Components (2)

- Process the image from left to right, top to bottom:


## 1.) If the next pixel to process is 1


i.) If only one of its neighbors (top or left) is 1 , copy its label.
ii.) If both are 1 and have the same label, copy it.
iii.) If they have different labels

- Copy the label from the left.
- Update the equivalence table.
iv.) Otherwise, assign a new label.


Equivalence table
\{1\}

## Sequential Connected Components (2)

- Process the image from left to right, top to bottom:
1.) If the next pixel to process is 1

i.) If only one of its neighbors (top or left) is 1 , copy its label.
ii.) If both are 1 and have the same label, copy it.
iii.) If they have different labels
- Copy the label from the left.
- Update the equivalence table.
iv.) Otherwise, assign a new label.


Equivalence table
\{1\}
\{2 \}

## Sequential Connected Components (2)

- Process the image from left to right, top to bottom:
1.) If the next pixel to process is 1
i.) If only one of its neighbors (top or left) is 1 , copy its label.
ii.) If both are 1 and have the same label, copy it.
iii.) If they have different labels
- Copy the label from the left.
- Update the equivalence table.
iv.) Otherwise, assign a new label.


Equivalence table
\{1\} 2 \}
\{2\}

## Sequential Connected Components (2)

- Process the image from left to right, top to bottom:
1.) If the next pixel to process is 1
i.) If only one of its neighbors (top or left) is 1 , copy its label.
ii.) If both are 1 and have the same label, copy it.
iii.) If they have different labels
- Copy the label from the left.
- Update the equivalence table.

iv.) Otherwise, assign a new label.


Equivalence table
$\left\{\begin{array}{lll}\frac{1}{3}\{ & 2, & 7\} \\ 4 \\ 5\end{array}, 6,8\right\}$

## Application: Segmentation of a Liver




Region Filling


Application by Jie Zhu, Cornell University

## Outline of Today's Lecture

- Convert the image into binary form Thresholding
- Clean up the thresholded image Morphological operators
- Extract individual objects

Connected Components Labeling

- Describe the objects
, Region properties
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## Region Properties

- From the previous steps, we can obtain separated objects.
- Some useful features can be extracted once we have connected components, including
, Area
, Centroid
, Extremal points, bounding box
- Circularity
, Spatial moments




## Area and Centroid

- We denote the set of pixels in a region by $R$
- Assuming square pixels, we obtain
- Area:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A=\sum_{(x, y) \in R} 1 \\
& \bar{x}=\frac{1}{A} \sum_{(x, y) \in R} x \\
& \bar{y}=\frac{1}{A} \sum_{(x, y) \in R} y
\end{aligned}
$$


, Centroid:

## Circularity

- Measure the deviation from a perfect circle
- Circularity: $\quad C=\frac{\mu_{R}}{\sigma_{R}}$
where $\mu_{R}$ and $\sigma_{R}^{2}$ are the mean and variance of the distance from the centroid of the shape to the boundary pixels $\left(x_{k} y_{k}\right)$.
, Mean radial distance:

$$
\mu_{R}=\frac{1}{K} \sum_{k=0}^{K-1}\left\|\left(x_{k}, y_{k}\right)-(\bar{x}, \bar{y})\right\|
$$


, Variance of radial distance:

$$
\sigma_{R}^{2}=\frac{1}{K} \sum_{k=0}^{K-1}\left[\left\|\left(x_{k}, y_{k}\right)-(\bar{x}, \bar{y})\right\|-\mu_{R}\right]^{2}
$$

## Invariant Descriptors

- Often, we want features independent of location, orientation, scale.


Feature space distance

## Central Moments

- $S$ is a subset of pixels (region).
- Central $(j, k)^{\text {th }}$ moment defined as:

$$
\mu_{j k}=\sum_{(x, y) \in S}(x-\bar{x})^{j}(y-\bar{y})^{k}
$$

- Invariant to translation of $S$.
- Interpretation:
, $0^{\text {th }}$ central moment: area
, $2^{\text {nd }}$ central moment: variance
, $3^{\text {rd }}$ central moment: skewness
, $4^{\text {th }}$ central moment: kurtosis


## R

## Moment Invariants ("Hu Moments")

- Normalized central moments

$$
\eta_{p q}=\frac{\mu_{p q}}{\mu_{00}^{\gamma}}, \quad \gamma=\frac{p+q}{2}+1
$$

- From those, a set of invariant moments can be defined for object description.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \phi_{1}=\eta_{20}+\eta_{02} \\
& \phi_{2}=\left(\eta_{20}-\eta_{02}\right)^{2}+4 \eta_{11}^{2} \\
& \phi_{3}=\left(\eta_{30}-3 \eta_{12}\right)^{2}+\left(3 \eta_{21}-\eta_{03}\right)^{2} \\
& \phi_{4}=\left(\eta_{30}+\eta_{12}\right)^{2}+\left(\eta_{21}+\eta_{03}\right)^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

- Robust to translation, rotation \& scaling, but don't expect wonders (still summary statistics).


## Moment Invariants

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi_{5}= & \left(\eta_{30}-3 \eta_{12}\right)\left(\eta_{30}+\eta_{12}\right)\left[\left(\eta_{30}+\eta_{12}\right)^{2}-3\left(\eta_{21}+\eta_{03}\right)^{2}\right] \\
& +\left(3 \eta_{21}-\eta_{03}\right)\left(\eta_{21}+\eta_{03}\right)\left[3\left(\eta_{30}+\eta_{12}\right)^{2}-\left(\eta_{21}+\eta_{03}\right)^{2}\right] \\
\phi_{6}= & \left(\eta_{20}-\eta_{02}\right)\left[\left(\eta_{30}+\eta_{12}\right)^{2}-\left(\eta_{21}+\eta_{03}\right)^{2}\right] \\
& +4 \eta_{11}\left(\eta_{30}+\eta_{12}\right)\left(\eta_{21}+\eta_{03}\right) \\
\phi_{7}= & =\left(3 \eta_{21}-\eta_{03}\right)\left(\eta_{30}+\eta_{12}\right)\left[\left(\eta_{30}+\eta_{12}\right)^{2}-3\left(\eta_{21}+\eta_{03}\right)^{2}\right] \\
& +\left(3 \eta_{12}-\eta_{30}\right)\left(\eta_{21}+\eta_{03}\right)\left[3\left(\eta_{30}+\eta_{12}\right)^{2}-\left(\eta_{21}+\eta_{03}\right)^{2}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Often better to use $\log _{10}\left(\phi_{i}\right)$ instead of $\phi_{i}$ directly...

## Axis of Least Second Moment

- Invariance to orientation?
, Need a common alignment


Axis for which the squared distance to 2D object points is minimized (maximized).

Compute Eigenvectors of $2^{\text {nd }}$ moment matrix (Matlab: eig(A))

$$
\left.\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\mu_{20} & \mu_{11} \\
\mu_{11} & \mu_{02}
\end{array}\right]=V D V^{T}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
v_{11} & v_{12} \\
v_{22} & v_{22}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\lambda_{1} & 0 \\
0 & \lambda_{2}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{ll}
v_{11} & v_{12} \\
v_{21}
\end{array}\right] v_{22}\right]^{T}
$$

## \section*{g} <br> Summary: Binary Image Processing

- Pros
, Fast to compute, easy to store
, Simple processing techniques
, Can be very useful for constrained scenarios
- Cons
, Hard to get "clean" silhouettes
, Noise is common in realistic scenarios
, Can be too coarse a representation
, Cannot deal with 3D changes


## References and Further Reading

- More on morphological operators can be found in
, R.C. Gonzales, R.E. Woods, Digital Image Processing. Prentice Hall, 2001
- Online tutorial and Java demos available on
, http://homepages.inf.ed.ac.uk/rbf/HIPR2/


## Questions?

## Demo "Haribo Classification"



## You Can Do It At Home...

## Accessing a webcam in Matlab:

function out $=$ webcam
\% uses "Image Acquisition Toolbox,,
adaptorName $=$ 'winvideo';
vidFormat $=$ 'I420_320x240';
vidObj1= videoinput(adaptorName, 1, vidFormat);
set(vidObj1, 'ReturnedColorSpace', 'rgb');
set(vidObj1, 'FramesPerTrigger', 1);
out = vidObj1 ;
cam $=$ webcam ();
img=getsnapshot(cam) ;


## Questions?

